

ADVANCES IN STRUCTURAL FIRE PROTECTION

OSCAR ZUNIGA, MSC, P.E.

MARQUESS & ASSOCIATES, INC. – MEDFORD, OREGON



OSCAR ZUNIGA, P.E.

BSME – University of Texas-Austin, 1973 MSFPE – Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, 2013 Registered Mechanical, Electrical, Fire Protection P.E. Private Practice-Consulting, 36 years Southwest Research Institute, 9 years

OUTLINE

- Structural Fire Protection- Design Objective
- Overview of Old vs. New Design Approaches
- Details of Old (Prescriptive) Design Approach
- Details of New (Performance-Based) Design Approach
- New BCD "Statewide Alternate Method" (SAM) 18-01
- Looking Ahead
- Q&A, Discussion

STRUCTURAL FIRE PROTECTION DESIGN OBJECTIVES

- Life Safety- occupants, emergency responders
- Property Protection- contents, buildings, adjoining
- Mission Continuity- essential facilities, infrastructure
- Preservation of Cultural Heritage
- Environmental

DESIGN APPROACHES: OLD VS. NEW

- "Old"- ASME E119 furnace testing, 1918
- "Old"- UBC fire resistive requirements, 1929
- "Old"- relatively short spans, no connectivity, individual components tested separately
- "Old"- no correlation between tests and real world
- "Old"- prescriptive, little structural engineering input
- "Old"- no dynamics of the complete assembly (pass/fail)
- "New"- numerical fire & structural response modeling
- "New"- analysis of complete assemblies
- "New"- performance-based, specific design outcomes, intensive structural & fire protection engineering









"OLD"













"NEW": ENGINEERED METHODOLOGY CAPACITY > DEMAND

- No mixing of prescriptive & performance-based aspects
- Analysis of available capacity by modeling and application of structural & fire protection engineering principles
- Analysis of post-event strength is possible
- Design tailored for specific application and conditions
- Absolutely mandatory involvement by AHJ at all phases



asce/sei **7-16**

ASCE

SEL STRUCTURAL INCINETATION

Minimum Design Loads and Associated Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures

ASCE/SEI 7-16, APPENDIX E

- NO mixing of methods allowed
- NO sprinkler activation allowed
- Load combination required 1.2D + A + 0.5L + 0.2S
- "A" = extraordinary event

28	WIND LOADS ON BUILDINGS: MAIN WIND FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM (ENVELOPE PROCEDURE).	311
29	WIND LOADS ON BUILDING APPURTENANCES AND OTHER STRUCTURES: MAIN WIND FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM (DIRECTIONAL PROCEDURE)	321
30	WIND LOADS: COMPONENTS AND CLADDING	333
31	WIND TUNNEL PROCEDURE.	389
APPI	ENDIX 11A QUALITY ASSURANCE PROVISIONS (Deleted)	391
APPE	ENDIX 11B EXISTING BUILDING PROVISIONS	393
APPE	ENDIX C SERVICEABILITY CONSIDERATIONS.	395
APPE	ENDIX D BUILDINGS EXEMPTED FROM TORSIONAL WIND LOAD CASES	397
APPE	ENDIX E PERFORMANCE-BASED DESIGN PROCEDURES FOR FIRE EFFECTS ON STRUCTURES	401

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES NEEDED

SEPE S.01 2011

	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A
	NEDA CC7
	NIPPA DD/
	Standard for
100	Determination
	of Eira Loads for
	of Pire Loads for
Use	in Structural Fire
	Protection Design
	2046 Edition
	2010 Edition
100	
-	
100 March 100 Ma	and the second
	(n)
-	In A state line in the state of the line
100	





SFPE Engineering Standard on Calculating Fire Exposures to Structures



SFPE S.01



SFPE Engineering Standard on Calculation Methods to Predict the Thermal Performance of Structural and Fire Resistive Assemblies

SFPE 5.02 2015



SFPE S.02

OREGON BUILDING CODES DIVISION STATEWIDE ALTERNATE METHOD 18-01



Abstract August 2018

Statewide Alternate Method No. 18-01 Tall Wood Buildings – Background

Statewide Alternate Method (SAM) Number 18-01 provides prescriptive path elements for Tall Wood Buildings of mass timber construction. This alternate path includes scientific conclusions established by the International Code Council's Ad Hoc Committee on Tall Wood Buildings that were incorporated into fourteen national proposals and utilizes concrete, steel or masonry for the vertical elements of the seismic force-resisting system.

The provisions detailed in the SAM are crafted to coincide with the 2014 Oregon Structural Specialty Code (OSSC) when selected for use.

Three new types of construction are introduced under this method, all three of which are organized under Type IV construction, typically referred to as heavy timber.

The new types of construction are:

- Type IV A
- Type IV B
- Type IV C

Prior to this document, heavy timber (wood) structures of this type were limited to six stories.

Major distinctions between these types of construction are found in the required fire-resistance rating of the structure and the protection specified, in terms of timber surface encapsulation.

Type IV A buildings with an automatic sprinkler system require 3-hour fire-resistance-rated primary structural frame elements and bearing walls, with 2-hour fire-resistance-rated floors. Exposed timber surfaces must be entirely encapsulated. For certain occupancies or uses, Type IV A buildings are permitted to achieve eighteen stories and 270 feet in building height.

Type IV B buildings with an automatic sprinkler system require 2-hour fire-resistance-rated primary structural frame elements and bearing walls, with 2-hour fire-resistance-rated floors. A calculated percentage of the exposed timber surfaces may remain exposed under this type, as established in Section 602.4.2.2.2 of the alternate. For certain occupancies or uses, Type IV B buildings are permitted to achieve twelve stories and 180 feet in building height.

Type IV C buildings with an automatic sprinkler system require 2-hour fire-resistance-rated primary structural frame elements and bearing walls, with 2-hour fire-resistance-rated floors. Exposed timber surfaces are permitted to remain entirely exposed under this type. For certain occupancies or uses, Type IV C buildings are permitted to achieve nine stories and 85 feet in building height.

Various additional increased safeguards, manufacturing specifications, material conditions and design configuration prescriptions are detailed in the alternate method.

This statewide alternate method intentionally reinforces the notion that the state building code is not a barrier to innovation or any method, technique or material of construction that is supported by scientific findings, while further preserving Oregon's ability to serve as a single place to obtain statewide approval, providing a predictable regulatory system of business.



- Approved August 8, 2018
- Modification of Type IV Const.
- Sustainability no heavy timber
- Full-scale fire tests of CLT
- Still a prescriptive path to compliance
- Still requires concrete, steel, masonry, or a combination for vertical elements of the seismic force-resisting system

NEW TYPE IV CONSTRUCTION SUB-TYPES

CONST. TYPE	PRI. STRUCT. HR. RATING	FLOOR HR. RATING	ENCAPSULATION REQ'D.	MAX.NO. STORIES	MAX. BLDG. HEIGHT	SPRINKLERS REQ'D.
IV	HT	HT	N/A	5	65'	N/A
IV A	3	2	Y- 100%	18	270'	Y
IV B	2	2	Y- PARTIAL	12	180'	Y
IV C	2	2	Ν	9	85'	Y

TIMBER PERFORMANCE IN FIRE







- No way to use remaining strength analysis post-fire
- No way to analyze wood performance or dynamics in fire events

BROCK COMMONS, UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER BC





- 18 Stories
- 53m (174 ft) high

THE FUTURE-?

• Will Oregon allow use of ASCE 7-16 Appendix E in the next OSSC code cycle?



NY La Guardia Airport

DISCUSSION / FORUM / Q&A